The Mott insulator state created by loading an atomic Bose-Einstein condensate (BEC) into an optical lattice may be used as a means to prepare a register of atomic qubits in a quantum computer. Such architecture requires a lattice commensurately filled with atoms, which corresponds to the insulator state only in the limit of zero inter-well tunneling. We show that a lattice with spatial inhomogeneity created by a quadratic magnetic trapping potential can be used to isolate a subspace in the center which is impervious to hole-hopping. Components of the wavefunction with more than one atom in any well can be projected out by selective measurement on a molecular photo-associative transition. Maintaining the molecular coupling induces a quantum Zeno effect that can sustain a commensurately filled register for the duration of a quantum computation.
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fined so that ε(⟨J⟩) = δJ 2. We stipulate that the on site interaction energy be larger than the trapping energy of the most externally trapped atom, or \( U > \varepsilon(N-1)/2 \), in order to inhibit multiple atom occupation in any well. The register is defined by a physical subspace \( \mathcal{R} \) comprising a number of wells \( n < N \) in the center region of the trap. The barrier space flanking \( \mathcal{R} \) will act to suppress percolation of holes from the edges to the center. The estimated probability for holes in \( \mathcal{R} \) due to tunneling through the barrier is \( p_\text{th} \approx \prod_{j=1}^{N-1/2} (J/\delta(2j+1)) = (J/2\delta)^{N-1/2}(\Gamma[2]/\Gamma[N/2+1])^2 \), which is negligible provided the barrier region is sufficiently large and \( J/n\delta < 1 \).

When expanded in the Fock state basis, the ground state of the register has amplitude in those states with holes neighboring atomic pairs, analogous to the homogeneous case. We describe a protocol which projects out these components by a null result from selective measurement of atomic pairs within any lattice site. This measurement detects population on an excited molecular state and can be made with high efficiency. Once the unit filled state is reached with high confidence, continuing the measurement will maintain this state by virtue of the quantum Zeno effect.

The measurement will map the register from the ground state \( |\Psi_j\rangle \) to the unit filled target state which is not an eigenstate of \( H_{BH} \). To describe the dynamics in the register during the measurement, it is convenient to use the following incomplete basis over \( \mathcal{R} \):

\[
|T\rangle = \prod_{j=-(n-1)/2}^{-(n-1)/2} a_j^\dagger|0\rangle, |S_j^+\rangle = \frac{a_j^\dagger a_{j+1}}{\sqrt{2}}|T\rangle, |S_j^-\rangle = \frac{a_{j+1}^\dagger a_j}{\sqrt{2}}|T\rangle.
\]

(3)

For each \( j \) the states \( |S_j^\pm\rangle \) are distinguished by the two energetically distinct orientations of an atomic pair and its neighboring hole with energies, \( E(S_j^\pm) = U(1 \pm \delta/(2j-1)) \). The target state \( |T\rangle \) defines the zero of energy. The \( |T\rangle \) and the \( |S_j^\pm\rangle \) states are coupled to first order in \( H_{BH} \) and they span the reduced state in \( \mathcal{R} \) of the ground state of the total system.

In the limit of large \( n \), the dynamics of the register is restricted to the basis of Eq. (3). This argument is understood by comparison to the dynamics in the homogeneous system. In the latter, the state with the largest coupling from the target state is the symmetrized \( |S\rangle \) with coupling matrix element \( \langle T|H_{BH}|S\rangle = -2\sqrt{\mathcal{J}} \). The state \( |S\rangle \) itself couples to a symmetrized state \( |S'\rangle \) of all Fock states with a one site separation between the atomic pair and the hole: \( |S'\rangle = 1/\sqrt{2\mathcal{M}} \sum_j (a_j^\dagger a_{j+2} + a_{j+2}^\dagger a_j)|T\rangle \). The coupling between these states is \( \langle S'|H_{BH}|S\rangle = -3\mathcal{J} \). The dynamics on time scales \( t < 1/\mathcal{J} \) are therefore constrained to the subspace \( \{|T\rangle, |S\rangle\} \) when \( n \gg 1 \). In the inhomogeneous case, the degeneracy is absent between states with neighboring pairs and holes, \( \{|S_j^\pm\rangle\} \) and states where pairs and holes are separated. In this off resonant situation, the coupling to states outside the restricted subspace can only be smaller than in the homogeneous case.

For the measurement, we choose a catalysis laser that is on resonance from the ground state of two atoms in a single well to a bound state \( \nu \) of a dipole-dipole coupled molecular \( S + P \) state. The bound state is chosen such that the catalysis laser is far off resonance from other bound states and repulsive potentials, see Fig. 1. For our many body system, we adopt the set of many body states \( \{|M_j^\pm\rangle\} = (\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}})b_j^\dagger b_{j+1}^\dagger a_{j+2}^\dagger a_j + (\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}})b_{j+1}^\dagger b_j^\dagger a_j^\dagger a_{j+2}) \), where \( b_j^\dagger \) is the creation operator for a molecule in the bound state \( \nu \). These states describe \( n - 2 \) atoms trapped in the lattice and a single molecule at site \( j + (1 \pm 1)/2 \), with dipole-dipole coupling energy \( \langle M_j^\pm|H_{dd}|M_j^\pm\rangle = \hbar \omega \nu \). The free atomic Hamiltonian for \( n \) atoms is \( H_A = \sum_j \hbar \omega \nu \langle \nu \rangle \langle \nu \rangle, \) where \( \langle \nu \rangle \langle \nu \rangle \) denotes the excited(ground) state for an atom at site \( j \). Hereafter, we work in units with the numerical value of \( \hbar \) equal to 1 meaning energies are understood as being in units of inverse time. The “bare” energy Hamiltonian \( H_0 \) including coupling in the restricted basis of \( H_{BH} \) is then:

\[
H_0 = H_A + H_{dd} + H_{BH} = \sum_j \hbar \omega \nu \langle \nu \rangle \langle \nu \rangle, \sum_j \hbar \omega \nu \langle \nu \rangle \langle \nu \rangle, \sum_j \hbar \omega \nu \langle \nu \rangle \langle \nu \rangle + \sum_j \hbar \omega \nu \langle \nu \rangle \langle \nu \rangle, \sum_j \hbar \omega \nu \langle \nu \rangle \langle \nu \rangle, \sum_j \hbar \omega \nu \langle \nu \rangle \langle \nu \rangle - \sqrt{\mathcal{J}} \sum_j \langle \nu | (T + |T\rangle \langle S_j^\pm\rangle) \rangle
\]

(4)

Under the atom laser interaction, \( H_{AL} \), the ground and excited state of each atom is coupled as is each many body state \( |M_j^\pm\rangle \) and its corresponding ground state \( |S_j^\pm\rangle \). In the rotating wave approximation, the interaction is:

\[
H_{AL} = \frac{\Omega_M}{2} \sum_j (e^{-i\omega_{AL} t} \langle \nu \rangle \langle \nu \rangle + h.c.) + \frac{\Omega_M}{2} \sum_j \langle \nu | \langle \nu \rangle \langle \nu \rangle, \sum_j \langle \nu | \langle \nu \rangle \langle \nu \rangle + h.c.),
\]

(5)

where \( \Omega_{M(\nu)} \) are the atomic (molecular) Rabi frequencies, related by \( \Omega_M = \sqrt{\mathcal{J}} \Omega_A \), where \( F_c \) is the Franck-Condon factor equaling the spatial overlap between the relative coordinate wavefunction describing two ground electronic state atoms trapped in a single lattice well and the molecular bound state \( \nu \). For bound states of interest, such as the long range bound
states of the $0^+_1(P_{3/2})$ potential \[1\], the catalysis detuning from atomic resonance, $\Delta = \omega_L - \omega_{2\kappa}$, is several thousands of linewidths meaning the atomic saturation is low $s_A = (\Omega_A^2/2\Delta^2) \ll 1$. In this case, the excited atomic states can be eliminated and the total Hamiltonian $H_0 + H_M$ in the rotating frame is,

$$H_I = \sum_{j,z}(\{ (\mathcal{V}_j + E(S_j^z))|S_j^z\rangle\langle S_j^z| \} + (\mathcal{V}_j + E(S_j^z) - U)|M_j^z\rangle\langle M_j^z| + \sqrt{\gamma_j}(|S_j^z\rangle\langle T| + |T\rangle\langle S_j^z|) + \Omega_M(|M_j^z\rangle\langle S_j^z| + |S_j^z\rangle\langle M_j^z|)).$$

(6)

Here, the differential light shift seen by the $|T\rangle$ state and the $|S_j^z\rangle$ and $|M_j^z\rangle$ states is equal to twice the single atom light shift: $V_c = \Delta S_A$. Any population in the bound molecular states will decay at a rate $\gamma_M \approx 2\Gamma$, where $\Gamma$ is the single atom decay rate. Because the excited state linewidth is greater than the lattice depth, the decay products will typically not be trapped and the system evolves according to a trace non-preserving master equation:

$$\dot{\rho} = -i[H_I, \rho] - \gamma_M/2\sum_{j,z}(|M_j^z\rangle\langle M_j^z|)\rho + \rho |M_j^z\rangle\langle M_j^z|).$$

(7)

We have ignored spontaneous emission due to decay from the single atom excited states at a rate $s_A \Gamma \ll \Gamma$ per atom. For time scales $1/\gamma_M \ll t \ll 1/(U + |V_j||),$ the excited state coherences can be solved for. To second order in $U/\gamma_M$, $|V_j||/\gamma_M$ and first order in $J/\gamma_M$ they are

$$\rho_{M_j^z,T}(t) = -i\frac{\Omega_M}{2\sqrt{\gamma_M}}\rho_{S_j^z,T}(t)$$

$$\rho_{M_j^z,M_j^z}(t) = \frac{\Omega_M^2}{U^2 + (\gamma_M/2)^2}\rho_{S_j^z,S_j^z}(t)$$

$$\rho_{M_j^z,S_j^z}(t) = -i\frac{\Omega_M}{2U + (\gamma_M/2)^2}\rho_{S_j^z,T}(t).$$

(8)

Inserting these expressions back into the equations for the dynamics in the ground state we have

$$\dot{\rho}_{S_j^z,T} = -i(E(S_j^z)|V_j|)\rho_{S_j^z,T} + i\sqrt{J}(|T,T\rangle - \rho_{S_j^z,S_j^z})$$

$$\rho_{T,T} = i\sqrt{J}(\rho_{S_j^z,T} - \rho_{T,S_j^z})$$

$$\dot{\rho}_{S_j^z,S_j^z} = -i\sqrt{J}(\rho_{S_j^z,T} - \rho_{T,S_j^z}) - \frac{\Omega_M^2}{U^2 + (\gamma_M/2)^2}\rho_{S_j^z,S_j^z}.$$  

(9)

These equations describe the Bose-Hubbard coupled states with a decay in population of each state with an atomic pair at a rate $2\kappa = \Omega_M^2/\gamma_M/(4(U^2 + \gamma_M/2)^2)$, and a decay of the coherences between each of these states and the $|T\rangle$ state at approximately the rate $\kappa$.

This type of evolution characterized by measurement induced phase damping was studied extensively by Gagen and Milburn \[12\]. We now show that our system can satisfy the conditions for this effect and in particular can be driven to the $|T\rangle$ state by monitoring the environment for a signature of decay from the molecular bound state.

For the inhomogeneous system, the state $|T\rangle$ couples to $2n$ distinguishable states $|S_j^z\rangle$. However, we can define an exact Rabi frequency between the subspace $|T\rangle$ and the subspace spanned by $\{|S_j^z\rangle\}$. This frequency is close to the coupling matrix element between the state $|T\rangle$ and the state $|S_j^z\rangle$ in the homogeneous system, namely $2\sqrt{n}J$. The coherences between the two subspaces decay at a rate $\kappa$, and the population in the subspace $\{|S_j^z\rangle\}$ decays at a rate $2\kappa$. The “good” measurement regime as derived in \[12\] is then:

$$\frac{\Omega_M}{\gamma_M} \ll 1 < \frac{\kappa}{2\sqrt{n}J}.$$  

(10)

The left side inequality ensures that the excited states $|M_j^z\rangle$ are weakly populated (equivalent to the condition for adiabatic elimination of these states). The right side inequality ensures that measurement is sufficiently strong to damp coherences on the time scale that they develop due to tunneling.

The limiting quantity that determines the decay rate of the weakly saturated molecular states and hence the measurement strength is the Franck-Condon factor $F_c$. It is calculated for bound-bound transitions using the reflection approximation of Julienne \[14\] where we solve for the ground state relative coordinate wavefunction for two atoms in a lattice well using a pseudo potential appropriate for $^{87}Rb$. We choose to couple to the $v = 17$ bound state of the $0^+_1(P_{3/2})$ potential which is at an energy $\Delta = -6.85 \times 10^4\Gamma$ from dissociation. For a lattice with wavelength 785 nm and transverse and parallel confinements $V^\perp = 38.5\kappa E_R$, $V || = 22\kappa E_R$, the result is $F_c \approx 5 \times 10^{-7}$. Given this confinement, the on-site interaction using $\alpha_s = 5.6$ nm is $U = 3.57\kappa$ kHz. Choosing an experimentally reasonable atomic Rabi frequency $\Omega_A = 25\kappa$, where $\Gamma = 2\pi \times 6.0665$ MHz, we find $\kappa \approx 0.13\kappa$. Here the atomic scattering due to the catalysis laser is $s_A \Gamma \approx 6.7 \times 10^{-9}\Gamma$ per atom and the off resonant light shift is $|V_j|| = 15.5\kappa$.

By way of example we define a one dimensional register $\mathcal{R}$ with 501 atoms that resides inside a lattice filled with $N = 551$ atoms. An external magnetic trapping frequency of $\omega_T = 2\pi \times 8$ kHz ensures that the last occupied well has an energy $\epsilon(N - 1)/2 = 0.9U$. We note that the probability for tunneling of holes from the edges is negligible as $J/(n\bar{\eta}) = 0.28$. In practice it is not important to know the exact number of atoms in the lattice as long as the trap strength is chosen such that, given the uncertainty in the number of atoms, the constraint $\epsilon(N - 1)/2 < U$ is always satisfied. These parameters fix the ratio $U/J = 500$ and the measurement strength is therefore $\kappa/2\sqrt{n}J = 1.5\kappa$.

When the environment is monitored, for instance by looking for photon scattering from the bound molecular state, the evolution of ground states can be modeled using quantum trajectories. For our simulation, the ground state wavefunction $|\psi(t)\rangle = c_T(t)|T\rangle + \sum_{j=\pm} c_{S_j^z}(t)|S_j^z\rangle$ is updated according to the non-Hermitian Hamiltonian $H = H_I - \eta/\gamma_M/2\sum_{j=\pm}|M_j^z\rangle\langle M_j^z|$. A quantum trajectory corresponding to a null measurement result converges to the target state $|T\rangle$ and freezes the state there as demonstrated in Fig. 2 for a similar effect with ions see \[13\]. The preparation time scale is $t_{prep} = 1/\kappa$. The probability that the preparation fails, i.e. the system decays from the excited states is $p_{fail} = 1 - \rho_{TT}(0)$.

Real experiments will be constrained to finite detector efficiencies $\eta$. For $\eta = 0$, corresponding to nonselective measurement, the system dynamics evolve according to Eq. 9. If we represent the dynamics of the system as a pseudo two state
in the limit $\kappa/2\sqrt{nJ} \to \infty$. Even for moderately strong measurements, if the initial state is close to the target state then the deviation time is long compared to $1/U$. For finite efficiencies, we can express the approximate fidelity to be in the target state. Assuming a null measurement result, for times $t > t_{\text{prep}}, 1/|V_c|$, it is $F(\eta, t) = \rho_{TT}(t) = \eta (1 - \eta) \rho_{TT}^{\eta}(t)$.

In practice, high detection efficiencies may be obtained by applying a second photo ionizing laser on resonance with the molecular bound state and monitoring the emission of ions.

If the catalysis field is turned off after the target state is reached, the system will freely evolve according to $H_{\text{BH}}$. The general time dependent state in the restricted basis is:

$$|\Psi(t)\rangle = c_T(t)|T\rangle + \sum_{j=\pm} e^{-i\Omega S_j^z t} c_j(t) S_j^z |\Psi(0)\rangle.$$  

Assuming the initial state $|\Psi(0)\rangle = |T\rangle$, the amplitudes $c_T, c_j$ evolve according to the Schrödinger equation, $i\hbar \partial_t |\Psi(t)\rangle = H_{\text{BH}} |\Psi(t)\rangle$. Using first order perturbation theory the time dependent fidelity to be in the target state $F(t) = |\langle T|\Psi(t)\rangle|^2$ can be estimated under the assumption that $\delta/U \ll 1$. For times $t < 1/J$ we find

$$F(t) = 1 - 8(J/U)^2(1 - \cos(\delta t)) (1 + \sin(\delta (n-1) t) / \sin(\delta t)).$$

This solution compares well with exact numerical simulations for a small number of atoms ($N,M < 8$) and simulations for larger $N = M$ using a restricted basis set of dimension $N(N-1)$ consisting of states with at most one site with two atoms. Note that the time averaged deviation from perfect fidelity $1 - (F(t)) = 8n(J/U)^2$ is twice as bad as the deviation if the system were left in the ground state $|\Psi_g\rangle$. Because the Bose-Hubbard Hamiltonian is intrinsic to trapped bosons in an optical lattice, other dispersive techniques for initializing a register in a lattice such as Raman side-band cooling [15], and phase space compression [16], if not corrected, will suffer from the same loss of fidelity as described by Eq. 14.

In summary, we have shown that efforts to prepare a register of atomic qubits in an optical lattice suffer from errors inherent in the underlying many body dynamics. We have introduced a protocol that addresses this issue to make the MI transition a robust mechanism for initialization. While we have presented the idea in the context of one dimensional dynamics, the method is also applicable to higher dimensions, which is the relevant regime for scalability. We appreciate helpful discussions with Almut Beige, Paul Julienne, and Eite Tiesinga. This research was supported in part by ARDA/NSA.
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