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Abstract

For \( \varphi \) a normalized positive definite function on a locally compact abelian group \( G \), we consider on the one hand the unitary representation \( \pi_\varphi \) associated to \( \varphi \) by the GNS construction, on the other hand the probability measure \( \mu_\varphi \) on the Pontryagin dual \( \hat{G} \) provided by Bochner’s theorem. We give necessary and sufficient conditions for the vanishing of 1-cohomology \( H^1(G, \pi_\varphi) \) and reduced 1-cohomology \( \overline{H}^1(G, \pi_\varphi) \). For example, \( \overline{H}^1(G, \pi_\varphi) = 0 \) if and only if either \( \text{Hom}(G, \mathbb{C}) = 0 \) or \( \mu_\varphi(1_G) = 0 \), where \( 1_G \) is the trivial character of \( G \).

1 Introduction

The Gel’fand-Naimark-Segal construction (see [BHV08]) provides a correspondence between positive definite functions \( \varphi \) on a locally compact group \( G \) and cyclic representations \( \pi_\varphi \) on Hilbert space. This allows one to construct a dictionary between the functional-analytic and algebro-geometric pictures of \( \varphi \) and \( \pi_\varphi \). For example, \( \varphi \) is an extreme point in the cone \( P(G) \) of positive definite functions on \( G \) if and only if \( \pi_\varphi \) is an irreducible representation; or, there exists a constant \( a > 0 \) such that \( \varphi - a \) is again positive definite if and only if \( \pi_\varphi \) has nonzero fixed vectors (see [Dix69]).

In view of their importance for rigidity questions and Kazhdan’s property (\( T^1 \)), it is natural to try to fit 1-cohomology and reduced 1-cohomology of \( \pi_\varphi \) in that dictionary. This is the question we address in this paper, assuming \( G \) to be a locally compact abelian group. Indeed, in this case we enjoy Bochner’s Theorem (see [Fol95]): \( \varphi \) is the Fourier transform of a positive Borel measure \( \mu_\varphi \) on the Pontryagin dual \( \hat{G} \). Without relying on the cohomological machinery available in the literature (see [Gui80], [BHV08]), we achieve by completely elementary means the results of this paper, namely, that the
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1Recall Shalom’s results, see Theorems 0.2 and 6.1 in [Sha00]: for a compactly generated group \( G \), the group \( G \) has property (\( T \)), if and only if \( \overline{H}^1(G, \pi) = 0 \) for every unitary representation \( \pi \) of \( G \), if and only if \( H^1(G, \sigma) = 0 \) for every unitary irreducible representation of \( G \).
existence of nontrivial 1-cohomology is determined by two factors: the existence of nontrivial homomorphisms to \( \mathbb{C} \), and more importantly, the behavior of \( \mu_\varphi \) near the trivial character \( 1_G \).

## 2 Statement of results

For \( G \) a locally compact group and \( \pi \) a unitary representation of \( G \) on a Hilbert space \( \mathcal{H}_\pi \), recall that the space of 1-cocycles for \( \pi \) is

\[
Z^1(G, \pi) = \{ b : G \to \mathcal{H}_\pi : b \text{ continuous}, b(gh) = \pi(g)b(h) + b(g) \text{ for all } g, h \in G \}.
\]

The space of 1-coboundaries for \( \pi \) is:

\[
B^1(G, \pi) = \{ b \in Z^1(G, \pi) : \exists v \in \mathcal{H}_\pi \text{ such that } b(g) = \pi(g)v - v \text{ for every } g \in G \}.
\]

The 1-cohomology of \( \pi \) is then the quotient

\[
H^1(G, \pi) = Z^1(G, \pi)/B^1(G, \pi).
\]

Endow \( Z^1(G, \pi) \) with the topology of uniform convergence on compact subsets of \( G \). The reduced 1-cohomology of \( \pi \) is the quotient of the space of 1-cocycles by the closure of the space of 1-coboundaries, i.e.

\[
\overline{H}^1(G, \pi) = Z^1(G, \pi)/\overline{B^1(G, \pi)}.
\]

From now on, let \( G \) be a locally compact abelian group, \( \varphi \) a positive definite function on \( G \). Excluding the zero function, we may without loss of generality take \( \varphi \) to be normalized \((\varphi(e) = 1)\). Let \( \mu_\varphi \) be the probability measure on the Pontryagin dual \( \hat{G} \) provided by Bochner’s theorem, i.e. \( \varphi(x) = \int_{\hat{G}} \xi(x) d\mu_\varphi(\xi) \) for \( x \in G \). Let \( (\pi_\varphi, \mathcal{H}_\varphi, \xi_\varphi) \) be the cyclic representation of \( G \) associated to \( \varphi \) through the GNS construction, so that the cyclic vector \( \xi_\varphi \in \mathcal{H}_\varphi \) satisfies \( \langle \pi_\varphi(x)\xi_\varphi | \xi_\varphi \rangle = \varphi(x) \). Let also \( \rho_\varphi \) be the representation of \( G \) on \( L^2(\hat{G}, \mu_\varphi) \) given by \( (\rho_\varphi(x)f)(\xi) = \xi(x)f(\xi) \) \( (\xi \in \hat{G}, f \in L^2(\hat{G}, \mu_\varphi)) \).

If \( \lambda_G \) denotes the regular representation of \( G \) and \( h \in L^2(G) \), then \( \langle \lambda_G(x)h | \xi(x)h(\xi) \rangle = \xi(x)h(\xi) \). From Plancherel’s Theorem, it follows that the composition of the Fourier transform with conjugation is a unitary equivalence between the regular representation on \( L^2(G) \) and the unitary representation defined by \( \left( f(\xi) \mapsto \xi(x)f(\xi) \right) \) on \( L^2(\hat{G}) \). This, together with Bochner’s Theorem, intuits our introduction of \( \rho_\varphi \), as well as the following proposition which we prove in section 3.

### Proposition 1

The representations \( \pi_\varphi \) and \( \rho_\varphi \) are unitarily equivalent.

We assume from now on that \( \varphi \) is not the constant function 1, so that \( \mu_\varphi \) is not the Dirac mass at the trivial character \( 1_G \) of \( G \). This is still equivalent to \( \mu_\varphi(1_G) < 1 \). Let \( \mu_\varphi^\perp \) be the probability measure on \( \hat{G} \) defined by \( \mu_\varphi = \mu_\varphi(1_G)\delta_{1_G} + (1 - \mu_\varphi(1_G))\mu_\varphi^\perp \).
Let \( \pi_0^\varphi \) be the (trivial) subrepresentation of \( \pi_\varphi \) on the subspace \( \mathcal{H}_0^\varphi \) of \( \pi_\varphi \)-fixed vectors, and \( \pi_\varphi^\perp \) be the subrepresentation on the orthogonal complement, so that \( \pi_\varphi = \pi_0^\varphi \oplus \pi_\varphi^\perp \). A simple computation in the \( \rho_\varphi \)-picture shows that \( \mathcal{H}_0^\varphi \neq 0 \) if and only if \( \mu_\varphi(1_G) > 0 \), and in this case \( \mathcal{H}_0^\varphi = \mathbb{C} \delta_{1_G} \). Moreover the map \( \mathcal{L}^2(\hat{G}\setminus\{1_G\}, \mu_\varphi^\perp) \to \mathcal{L}^2(\hat{G}, \mu_\varphi) : f \mapsto \frac{f}{\sqrt{1-\mu_\varphi(1_G)}} \) is isometric and identifies \( \pi_\varphi^\perp \) with the restriction of \( \rho_\varphi \) to \( \mathcal{L}^2(\hat{G}\setminus\{1_G\}, \mu_\varphi^\perp) \).

Our main result is:

**Theorem 1** Let \( \varphi \) be a nonconstant, normalized positive definite function on a locally compact abelian group \( G \).

1) Consider the following statements:
   
   i) \( H^1(G, \pi_\varphi) = 0 \);

   ii) Both of the following properties are satisfied:

   a) \( \mu_\varphi(1_G) = 0 \) or \( \text{Hom}(G, \mathbb{C}) = 0 \);

   b) \( 1_G \notin \text{supp}(\mu_\varphi^\perp) \).

   Then (ii) \( \Rightarrow \) (i), and the converse holds if \( G \) is \( \sigma \)-compact.

2) The following are equivalent:

   i) \( \mathcal{H}^1(G, \pi_\varphi) = 0 \);

   ii) \( \mu_\varphi(1_G) = 0 \) or \( \text{Hom}(G, \mathbb{C}) = 0 \).

This result will be proved in section 4. It is essentially equivalent to Theorem 4 in [Gui72], but we emphasize the fact that our proof is direct and based on explicit construction of cocycles and coboundaries.

### 3 Proof of Proposition \[ \text{[I]} \]

**Lemma 1** The constant function \( 1 \in \mathcal{L}^2(\hat{G}, \mu_\varphi) \) is a cyclic vector for \( \rho_\varphi \).

**Proof:** For \( f \in \mathcal{L}^1(G) \), consider the operator \( \rho_\varphi(f) = \int_G f(x) \rho_\varphi(x) \, dx \); then \( \langle \rho_\varphi(f).1 | 1 \rangle = \int_G f(x) \xi(x) \, dx = \hat{f}(\xi) \).

Denote by \( C_0(\hat{G}) \) the space of continuous functions vanishing at infinity on \( \hat{G} \), and recall that \( \hat{f} \in C_0(\hat{G}) \) (the Riemann-Lebesgue Lemma). It is classical that the map \( \mathcal{L}^1(G) \to C_0(\hat{G}) \) is a continuous algebra homomorphism with dense image (a consequence of Stone-Weierstrass). Now compose this homomorphism with the continuous inclusion \( C_0(\hat{G}) \to \mathcal{L}^2(\hat{G}, \mu_\varphi) : h \mapsto h.1 \). Since continuous functions with compact support are dense in \( \mathcal{L}^2(G, \mu_\varphi) \), this inclusion has dense image. Since the map \( \mathcal{L}^1(G) \to \mathcal{L}^2(\hat{G}, \mu_\varphi) : f \mapsto \rho_\varphi(f).1 \) is the composite of the previous maps, it has dense image, meaning that \( 1 \) is cyclic for \( \rho_\varphi \). ■

Observe that \( \langle \rho_\varphi(x).1 | 1 \rangle = \int_{\hat{G}} \xi(x) \, d\mu_\varphi(\xi) = \varphi(x) \), so Proposition \[ \text{[I]} \] follows from Lemma \[ \text{[I]} \] and the uniqueness statement of the GNS construction. ■
4 Proof of Theorem 1

Since $\pi_\varphi = \pi_\varphi^0 \oplus \pi_\varphi^1$, we have $H^1(G, \pi_\varphi) = H^1(G, \pi_\varphi^0) \oplus H^1(G, \pi_\varphi^1)$ and analogously for $\overline{H}$. As $B^1(G, \pi_\varphi^0) = 0$, we see that $H^1(G, \pi_\varphi^0) = 0$ if and only if $\overline{H}(G, \pi_\varphi^0) = 0$, if and only if either $\mu_\varphi(1_G) = 0$ or $\text{Hom}(G, \mathbb{C}) = 0$: this proves the implications $(i) \Rightarrow (ii)(a)$ in part 1 of Theorem 1, and $(i) \Rightarrow (ii)$ in part 2 of Theorem 1 moreover, it reduces the main result to:

**Theorem 2** Let $\varphi$ be a nonconstant, normalized positive definite function on a locally compact abelian group $G$.

1) If $1_G \notin \text{supp}(\mu_\varphi^1)$, then $H^1(G, \mu_\varphi^1) = 0$. The converse holds if $G$ is $\sigma$-compact.

2) $\overline{H}(G, \pi_\varphi^1) = 0$.

**Example 1** In Part 1 of Theorems 1 and 2 the converse implications are false when $G$ is not assumed to be $\sigma$-compact. Indeed, let $G$ be an uncountable abelian group with the discrete topology, and take $\varphi = \delta_1$. Then $\pi_\varphi$ is the left regular representation $\lambda_G$ on $\ell^2(G)$, while $\mu_\varphi = \mu_\varphi^1$ is the Haar measure on the compact group $\hat{G}$. Since $\mu_\varphi$ has full support, in particular $1_G$ lies in its support. On the other hand $H^1(G, \lambda_G) = 0$ by Proposition 4.13 in [CTV08].

To prove the implication “$\Rightarrow$” in part 1 of Theorem 2 we will need:

**Lemma 2** Let $F$ be a closed subset of $\hat{G}$, with $1_G \notin F$.

a) There exists a regular Borel probability measure $\nu_0$ on $G$ such that the Fourier transform $\hat{\nu}_0$ vanishes on $F$.

b) For every $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a compactly supported regular Borel probability measure $\nu$ on $G$ such that $|\hat{\nu}| < \varepsilon$ on $F$.

**Proof:** (a) See section 1.5.2 in [Ruc62].

(b) Let $\nu_0$ be a probability measure on $G$ as in (a). Let $\delta$ be a number $0 < \delta < 1$, to be determined later. Let $C$ be a compact subset of $G$ such that $\nu_0(C) > 1 - \delta$. Let $\nu$ be the probability measure on $G$ defined by $\nu(B) = \frac{\nu_0(B \cap C)}{\nu_0(C)}$, for every Borel subset $B \subseteq G$. By taking $\delta$ small enough, the total variation distance $|\nu_0 - \nu|(G)$ between $\nu_0$ and $\nu$ can be made arbitrarily small. For any finite signed measure $\mu$ on $G$, we have the classical inequality $|\int_G f(x) \, d\mu(x)| \leq ||f||_{\infty}|\mu|(G)$; applied to $\mu = \nu_0 - \nu$ and $f(x) = \xi(x)$ with $\xi \in \hat{G}$, it gives $|\hat{\nu}_0(\xi) - \hat{\nu}(\xi)| \leq |\nu_0 - \nu|(G)$, so that $||\hat{\nu}_0 - \hat{\nu}||_{\infty} < \varepsilon$ for $\delta$ small enough.

**Proof of “$\Rightarrow$” in part 1 of Theorem 2** We assume that $1_G$ is not in the support of $\mu_\varphi^1$, and prove that $H^1(G, \pi_\varphi^1) = 0$. Let $b \in Z^1(G, \pi_\varphi^1)$ be a 1-cocycle. Expanding $b(xy) = b(yx)$ using the cocycle relation, we get:

$$(1 - \pi_\varphi^1(x))b(y) = (1 - \pi_\varphi^1(y))b(x) \quad (x, y \in G).$$
In the realization of $\pi^\perp_\varphi$ on $L^2(\hat{G}, \mu^+_\varphi)$, this gives:

$$ (1 - \xi(x))b(y)(\xi) = (1 - \xi(y))b(x)(\xi) $$

(1)

almost everywhere in $\xi$ (w.r.t. $\mu^+_\varphi$). By Lemma 2 we can find a compactly supported probability measure $\nu$ on $G$ such that $|1 - \tilde{\nu}| \geq \frac{1}{2}$ on $supp(\mu^+_\varphi)$. Define an element $v \in L^2(\hat{G}, \mu^+_\varphi)$ by $v := \int_G b(y) d\nu(y)$: since $b$ is continuous and $\nu$ is compactly supported, the integral exists (in the weak sense) in $L^2(\hat{G}, \mu^+_\varphi)$. Integrating (1) w.r.t. $\nu$ in the variable $y$, we get:

$$ (1 - \xi(x))v(\xi) = (1 - \tilde{\nu}(\xi))b(x)(\xi) $$

(2)

almost everywhere in $\xi$. Since $|1 - \tilde{\nu}| \geq \frac{1}{2}$ on $supp(\mu^+_\varphi)$, the function $w(\xi) := \frac{\nu(\xi)}{1 - \tilde{\nu}(\xi)}$ belongs to $L^2(\hat{G}, \mu^+_\varphi)$, and by (2) its coboundary is exactly $b$. ■

**Lemma 3** Let $H$ be a locally compact group. Let $(\sigma_n)_{n \geq 1}$ be a sequence of unitary representations of $H$ without nonzero fixed vectors, with $\sigma_n$ acting on a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}_n$. Assume that, for each $n \geq 1$, there exists a unit vector $\eta_n \in \mathcal{H}_n$ such that the series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|\sigma_n(x)\eta_n - \eta_n\|^2$ converges uniformly on compact subsets of $H$. Set $\sigma = \oplus_{n=1}^{\infty} \sigma_n$. Then $b(x) := \oplus_{n \geq 1} (\sigma_n(x)\eta_n - \eta_n)$ defines a nonzero element in $H^1(G, \sigma)$.

**Proof:** By assumption $b(x)$ belongs to $\oplus_{n=1}^{\infty} H_n$ and the map $H \to \oplus_{n=1}^{\infty} H_n : x \mapsto b(x)$ is continuous. Let $\eta \in \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} H_n$ be defined as $\eta = (\eta_n)_{n \geq 1}$. Since $b$ is the formal coboundary of $\eta$, we have $b \in Z^1(H, \sigma)$. To prove that $b$ is not a coboundary, it suffices to show that the associated affine action $\alpha(x)v = \sigma(x)v + b(x)$ on $\oplus_{n=1}^{\infty} H_n$ has no fixed point. But $\alpha(x)v = v$ translates into $\sigma_n(x)(v_n + \eta_n) = v_n + \eta_n$ for every $x \in H$ and $n \geq 1$. Since $\sigma_n$ has no nonzero fixed vector, we have $v_n + \eta_n = 0$ so $\|v_n\| = 1$, which contradicts $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|v_n\|^2 < +\infty$. ■

**Proof of “$\Leftarrow$” in part 1 of Theorem 2** assuming $G$ to be $\sigma$-compact: Let $(K_n)_{n \geq 0}$ be an increasing sequence of compact subsets of $G$, with $G = \cup_{n=1}^{\infty} K_n$, and $K_0 = \{1\}$. Define a basis $(U_k)_{k \geq 0}$ of open neighborhoods of $1_G$ in $\hat{G}$ by $U_k = \{ \xi \in \hat{G} : \max_{g \in K_k} |\xi(g) - 1| < 2^{-k}\}$ (observe that $U_0 = \hat{G}$). Define a sequence $(k_n)_{n \geq 0}$ inductively by $k_0 = 0$ and $k_n = \min\{k : k > k_{n-1}, \mu^+_\varphi(U_k) < \mu^+_\varphi(U_{k_{n-1}})\}$ for $n \geq 1$ (since $\mu^+_\varphi(U_{k_{n}}) = 0$ and $1_G$ is in the support of $\mu^+_\varphi$, this is well-defined). Set then $C_n := U_{k_n} \setminus U_{k_{n+1}}$ for $n \geq 1$, and let $\mathcal{H}_n$ be the space of functions in $L^2(\hat{G}, \mu^+_\varphi)$ which are $\mu^+_\varphi$-almost everywhere zero on $\hat{G}\setminus C_n$. Then $\mathcal{H}_n$ is a closed, $\rho^\perp_\varphi$-invariant subspace of $L^2(\hat{G}, \mu^+_\varphi)$. Denote by $\sigma_n$ the restriction of $\rho^\perp_\varphi$ to $\mathcal{H}_n$, so that $L^2(\hat{G}, \mu^+_\varphi) = \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{H}_n$ and $\rho^\perp_\varphi = \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} \sigma_n$. Let $\eta_n = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\mu^+_\varphi(C_n)}}$ be the normalized characteristic function of $C_n$. To appeal to Lemma 3 we still have to check that $x \mapsto \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|\sigma_n(x)\eta_n - \eta_n\|^2$ converges uniformly on every compact subset $K$ of $G$. Clearly we may assume $K = K_\ell$. For $n \geq \ell$ and $x \in K_\ell$ and $\xi \in C_n$, we have $|\xi(x) - 1| < 2^{-k_n}$, hence:

$$ \max_{x \in K_\ell} \sum_{n=\ell}^{\infty} \|\sigma_n(x)\eta_n - \eta_n\|^2 = \max_{x \in K_\ell} \sum_{n=\ell}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\mu^+_\varphi(C_n)} \int_{C_n} |\xi(x) - 1|^2 d\mu^+_\varphi(\xi) \leq \sum_{n=\ell}^{\infty} 4^{-k_n} \leq \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 4^{-n} = \frac{4}{3} $$
and

$$\max_{x \in K} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \| \sigma(x) \eta_n - \eta_n \|^2 \leq (\max_{x \in K} \sum_{n=0}^{\ell-1} \| \sigma(x) \eta_n - \eta_n \|^2) + \frac{4}{3} \leq 4\ell + \frac{4}{3} < +\infty.$$ 

So the result follows from Lemma 3.

**Proof of part 2 of Theorem 2** Let $b \in Z^1(G, \pi_\varphi)$ be a 1-cocycle. We must show that $b$ is a limit of 1-coboundaries (uniformly on compact subsets of $G$). Since $\mu_\varphi^+(1_G) = 0$, by regularity of $\mu_\varphi^+$, we may find a decreasing sequence of relatively compact open neighborhoods $(V_n)_{n \geq 1}$ of $1_G$, such that $\mu_\varphi^+(V_n) \to 0$ for $n \to \infty$. Set $H_n := \{ f \in L^2(\hat{G}, \mu_\varphi^+) : f = 0 \text{ a.e. on } V_n \}$; then $H_n$ is a closed, $\rho_\varphi$-invariant subspace, and the sequence $(H_n)_{n \geq 1}$ is increasing with dense union in $L^2(\hat{G}, \mu_\varphi^+)$. Let $\rho_n$ denote the restriction of $\rho_\varphi$ to $H_n$, and $b_n$ be the projection of $b$ onto $H_n$. Then $b_n \in Z^1(G, \rho_n)$, and $\lim_{n \to \infty} b_n = b$ (uniformly on compact subsets of $G$). But since $1_G$ does not belong to the closed subset $\hat{G}\setminus V_n$, by part 1 of Theorem 2 we have $H^1(G, \rho_n) = 0$, so that $b_n$ is a coboundary.

**References**


Authors’ addresses:

J.F.: Mathematisches Institut, Universität Bonn, Endenicher Allee 60, 53115 Bonn, Germany, E-mail: jjfranks@outlook.com.

A.V.: Institut de Mathématiques, Université de Neuchâtel, Unimail, 11 Rue Emile Argand, CH-2000 Neuchâtel, Switzerland. E-mail: alain.valette@unine.ch